Ekhibit J


•



Short-term Methods for Estimating the Chronic Toxicity of Effluents and Receiving Waters to Freshwater Organisms

Fourth Edition

October 2002



SECTION 9

CHRONIC TOXICITY TEST ENDPOINTS AND DATA ANALYSIS

9.1 ENDPOINTS

9.1.1 The objective of chronic aquatic toxicity tests with effluents and pure compounds is to estimate the highest "safe" or "no-effect concentration" of these substances. For practical reasons, the responses observed in these tests are usually limited to hatchability, gross morphological abnormalities, survival, growth, and reproduction, and the results of the tests are usually expressed in terms of the highest toxicant concentration that has no statistically significant observed effect on these responses, when compared to the controls. The terms currently used to define the endpoints employed in the rapid, chronic and sub-chronic toxicity tests have been derived from the terms previously used for full life-cycle tests. As shorter chronic tests were developed, it became common practice to apply the same terminology to the endpoints. The terms used in this manual are as follows:

9.1.1.1 Safe Concentration - The highest concentration of toxicant that will permit normal propagation of fish and other aquatic life in receiving waters. The concept of a "safe concentration" is a biological concept, whereas the "no-observed-effect concentration" (below) is a statistically defined concentration.

9.1.1.2 No-Observed-Effect-Concentration (NOEC) - The highest concentration of toxicant to which organisms are exposed in a full life-cycle or partial life-cycle (short-term) test, that causes no observable adverse effects on the test organisms (i.e., the highest concentration of toxicant in which the values for the observed responses are not statistically significantly different from the controls). This value is used, along with other factors, to determine toxicity limits in permits.

9.1.1.3 Lowest-Observed-Effect-Concentration (LOEC) - The lowest concentration of toxicant to which organisms are exposed in a life-cycle or partial life-cycle (short-term) test, which causes adverse effects on the test organisms (i.e., where the values for the observed responses are statistically significantly different from the controls).

9.1.1.4 Effective Concentration (EC) - A point estimate of the toxicant concentration that would cause an observable adverse affect on a quantal, "all or nothing," response (such as death, immobilization, or serious incapacitation) in a given percent of the organisms, calculated by point estimation techniques. If the observable effect is death or immobility, the term, Lethal Concentration (LC), should be used (see Subsection 9.1.1.5). A certain EC or LC value might be judged from a biological standpoint to represent a threshold concentration, or lowest concentration that would cause an adverse effect on the observed response.

9.1.1.5 Lethal Concentration (LC) - The toxicant concentration that would cause death in a given percent of the test population. Identical to EC when the observed adverse effect is death. For example, the LC50 is the concentration of toxicant that would cause death in 50% of the test population.

9.1.1.6 Inhibition Concentration (IC) - The toxicant concentration that would cause a given percent reduction in a non-quantal biological measurement for the test population. For example, the IC25 is the concentration of toxicant that would cause a 25% reduction in mean young per female or in growth for the test population, and the IC50 is the concentration of toxicant that would cause a 50% reduction.

9.2 RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN ENDPOINTS DETERMINED BY HYPOTHESIS TESTING AND POINT ESTIMATION TECHNIQUES

9.2.1 If the objective of chronic aquatic toxicity tests with effluents and pure compounds is to estimate the highest "safe or no-effect concentration" of these substances, it is imperative to understand how the statistical endpoints of these tests are related to the "safe" or "no-effect" concentration. NOECs and LOECs are determined by hypothesis testing (Dunnett's Test, a t test with the Bonferroni adjustment, Steel's Many-one Rank Test, or the Wilcoxon Rank

TABLE 3. SUMMARY OF TEST CONDITIONS AND TEST ACCEPTABILITY CRITERIA FOR DAPHNID, CERIODAPHNIA DUBIA, SURVIVAL AND REPRODUCTION TOXICITY TESTS WITH EFFLUENTS AND RECEIVING WATERS (TEST METHOD 1002.0)¹

| 1. | Test type: | Static renewal (required) |
|-----|--|---|
| 2. | Temperature (°C): | 25 ± 1 °C (recommended) Test temperatures should not deviate (i.e., maximum minus minimum temperature) by more than 3 °C during the test (required) |
| 3. | Light quality: | Ambient laboratory illumination (recommended) |
| 4. | Light intensity: | 10-20 μE/m ² /s, or 50-100 ft-c (ambient laboratory levels) (recommended) |
| 5. | Photoperiod: | 16 h light, 8 h dark (recommended) |
| 6. | Test chamber size: | 30 mL (recommended minimum) |
| 7. | Test solution volume: | 15 mL (recommended minimum) |
| 8. | Renewal of test solutions: | Daily (required) |
| 9. | Age of test organisms: | Less than 24 h; and all released within a 8-h period (required) |
| 10. | No. neonates per test chamber: | 1 Assigned using blocking by known parentage (Subsection 13.10.2.4) (required) |
| 11. | No. replicate test chambers per concentration: | 10 (required minimum) |
| 12. | No. neonates per test concentration: | 10 (required minimum) |
| 13. | Feeding regime: | Feed 0.1 mL each of YCT and algal suspension per test chamber daily (recommended) |
| 14. | Cleaning: | Use freshly cleaned glass beakers or new plastic cups daily (recommended) |
| 15. | Aeration: | None (recommended) |
| 16. | Dilution water: | Uncontaminated source of receiving or other natural water, synthetic water prepared using MILLIPORE MILLI-Q [®] or equivalent deionized water and reagent grade chemicals or DMW (see Section 7, Dilution Water) (available options) |

¹ For the purposes of reviewing WET test data submitted under NPDES permits, each test condition listed above is identified as required or recommended (see Subsection 10.2 for more information on test review). Additional requirements may be provided in individual permits, such as specifying a given test condition where several options are given in the method.